

Options- PRSYL	Yes	No	Comments	Stars
Capital Improvements/maintenance	10	21		
Building addition for Head Start program needs	5	26	Open-minded based on community	*
Relocate programming to Jefferson EL (sell building/property)	28	4		****
Relocate programming to Washington EL (sell building/property)	6	22		*
Relocate programming to Kate Goodrich (sell building/property)	9	21	If it can fit without major revisions	
Other			Do not sell Pine River School	
			Relocations as long as no expansions are required	
			Sell- determine where to relocate	
			Is it possible to have one elementary school- is that a vision to be considered?	
			This large area facility is a good area for a wide range of activities; it is a luxury in many communities--we should keep it.	

Options- Jefferson	Yes	No	Comments	Stars
Sell building/ property	9	22	Concern with selling is past old school buildings (Scott & Midway) are now eyesores, brought little to no value to district - Possible but doubtful that we would get much for it	
Relocate 3rd and 4th grade students, would require a 20,000 SF addition & capital improvements/ maintenance (Est. budget = \$16M-19.5M)	9	21	If the community supported	
Relocate 3rd through 5th grade students, would require a 31,800 SF addition & capital improvements/ maintenance (Est. budget = \$22M-27M)	8	22	If the community supported	
Renovation for Head Start (PRYSL) students/program & capital improvements/maintenance	27	4		***
Renovation for NAC students/ program & capital improvements/ maintenance	16	15	NAC pulls from other districts who primarily transport via Hwy 51. This would add 20 minutes to their transports (across town). - Only if young adults are kept separate from Headstart	
Other			Do not co-locate NAC and Pine River/Head Start in the same building	
			If NAC and PRYSL are at the same building, secure separation and dedicated access for each program	
			Seriously, we won't get a lot of \$ for selling Jefferson, but there may be other city/ community needs. The worst thing is to do nothing and let it deteriorate while it's costing \$\$	
			I'd like to see Washington & Jefferson going back to KG-5.	
			NAC & Headstart cannot be in the same building	
			NAC & Headstart NOT together	
			Can NAC & Headstart each have a secure, separate space?	
			Can this building have Headstart & maybe add 4K kindergarten?	
			Relocate BVA, NAC & PRSYL can work with the right plan and right staff	

			I would NOT want NAC & Headstart together- maybe Headstart & District Office	
			If only PRYSL OR NAC goes to Jefferson the community may be very worked up...that is a lot of movement and buildings for few students.	
			If headstart goes to Jefferson, NAC does not.	
			Renovate for NAC/BVA/PRSYL	
			Possibly bring other 4K programs under the MAPS umbrella rather than community with headstart	
			could also house Headstart AND NAC in this building.	
			Split building into 2, wall dividing and move Pine River and NAC here.	

Options- Washington	Yes	No	Comments	Stars
Capital Improvements/maintenance	29	3		
Remain 3rd-4th grade with addition for gymnasium, school offices, and site/ parking improvements	20	10		
Convert to 3rd-5th grade with addition for classrooms, gymnasium, school offices, and site/parking improvements	14	16	OR make it a K-5! Regardless of staff efficiency, this could be included in the larger survey- see if community is more supportive of a K-5 school.	**
Renovation for Head Start (PRYSL) students/ program & capital improveents/ maintenance	6	24	Cost dependant	*
Renovation for NAC students/ program & capital improvements/ maintenance	5	26	Cost dependant	
Renovation for District Office, 7,000 SF addition for Maintenance & Operations (shop), & capital improvements/ maintenance	12	18	Cost dependant - Absolutely not! - I think NAC should move to Washington in this scenario	*
Other			Prefer District Office & maintenance at MHS or Washington sites; would like to see timelines of these proposals including a chart with enrollment projections	
			I think Kate Goodrich should be K-2 and Jefferson is grades 3-4 (Washington kids go to Jefferson)	
			Central office & Buildings/Grounds at HS site, NAC @ PRMS, Jefferson becomes Headstart through Kindergarten, Kate 1-3, Washington 4th-5th	
			Adding a grade level may increase traffic congestion	
			What about using other vacant buildings in Merrill? What about a community grade school option that was discussed last time (option 4 w/ 2 ES)?	
			Should give strong consideration to making this a K-4 or 5 neighborhood school and doing the same at Kate Goodrich	

Options- PRMS	Yes	No	Comments	Stars
Capital Improvements/maintenance	22	9		
If building would not be fully utilized, consider demolition of the 1948 portion of building to allow for more parking	16	13	Wow! No! No! No! If you HAD to demo something, you could demo the addition while keeping the '48 section of the building	
Renovation for Head Start (PRYSL) students/ program & capital improvements/ maintenance	1	29	Could be, not really appealing	
Renovation for NAC students/ program & capital improvements/ maintenance	11	19		
Renovation for District Office, 7,000 SF addition for Maintenance and Operations (Shop), & capital improvements/ maintenance	21	8	Only if this fills the currently empty space and does not relocate ANY students - Add NAC -	*
Other			Demo only based on community support, open-minded to all options supported by the community	
			Young kids cannot move to PRMS- no place to play :(
			I think it would be a hard sell to tear parts of this down for historical significance- given the recent situation with TB Scott Mansion	
			Please consider and understand the historical sentiment from that building	
			When the pool was built, promise to community to keep it as a district-owned asset to the community	
			Keep 1979 and 1997 additions- otherwise, we'd have to demo the pool and build a new one; the pool is a valuable asset to the community and wasteful to tear it down	
			Can 5th grade go to Washington and 6-8th go to Jefferson with an addition?	
			Can NAC be added to PRMS?	
			Remove 1922 and 1948 sections	

			I am comfortable with full demolition	
			Demoing as much of the "old part" as possible and moving NAC, Central office and maintenance to this location is a GREAT idea.	
			Only support any of these if the school stays open- DO NOT DEMO THE MIDDLE SCHOOL	
			Do NOT want to see 6-12 in contact with each other in 1 building	
			concern over runners/elopement of NAC students in a high-traffic area	
			I think this should be kept as it is now.	
			Minimum maintenance, and long term move 6-8 to MHS site	
			Public will be very attached.	
			No demolition!	
			Demo 1922 portion along with 1948 portion, build a new MS off high school, build new district offices/ shop on site	
			Tear down 1922 building	
			Demo 1922 portion	
			Move w/ NAC and 6-8th grade	

Options- MHS	Yes	No	Comments	Stars
Capital Improvements/maintenance	30	1		
Building addition for Tech Ed & Fitness/ weights and renovation for cafeteria	16	14	Cost-dependent- do not believe fitness/weights need larger space with declining enrollment - NO, hard to sell a referendum for weights (who would use that/ % of student body?) - how many students are enrolled in Tech Ed classes to justify addition?	
Separate building addition for 6th-8th grade students	19	13		*
Renovation for NAC students/program (separate space/ entry) & capital improvements/ maintenance	9	21	Playground space?	
Renovation for District Office, 7,000 SF addition for Maintenance & Operations (Shop)	21	9	Only if this fills the currently unused space & does not relocate/displace students	*
Other			Can we use existing shop and truck storage?	
			Maybe District Office, Maintenance and NAC to current Middle school is a good idea	
			Playground space for NAC?	
			OK with NAC if separation is possible w/ reasonable cost- prefer NAC at Jefferson	
			Tear down the old buildings and build new 6-8- would be the dream	
			Any process should include teacher wage increase- easier to pass a referendum	
			Keep buildings except Pine River- prioritize maintenance projects and keep NAC separate	
			Put addition on south end for 6-7-8th grades, put NAC at very south end of building, isolate NAC from the rest of the building	
			District offices OK- but maintenance @ PRMS or Washington? Or decentralize maintenance to all locations maybe?	
			Keep 5th and 8th grade separate in middle school	

		I essentially vote for option 4A, but leave 6-8th grade at Prairie River	
--	--	--	--